

JEFFREY F. KELLER (SBN 148005)
KELLER GROVER, LLP
 1965 Market Street
 San Francisco, California 94103
 Telephone: (415) 543-1305
 Facsimile: (415) 543-7861
 E-Mail: jfkeller@kellergrover.com

JOHN G. JACOBS (*PRO HAC VIC*)
 BRYAN G. KOLTON (*PRO HAC VICE*)
JACOBS KOLTON, CHTD.
 55 West Monroe, Suite 2970
 Chicago, Illinois 60603
 Telephone: (312) 427-4000
 Facsimile: (312) 268-2425
 E-Mail: jgjacobs@jacobskolton.com
 E-Mail: bghkolton@jacobskolton.com

David Schachman (*PRO HAC VICE*)
LAW OFFICES OF
DAVID SCHACHMAN, P.C.
 55 West Monroe Street, Suite 2970
 Chicago, Illinois 60603
 Telephone: (312) 427-9500
 Facsimile: (312) 268-2425
 ds@schachmanlaw.com

Michael W. Sobol (State Bar No. 194857)
**LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN &
 BERNSTEIN, LLP**
 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor
 San Francisco, CA 94111-3339
 Telephone: (415) 956-1000
 Facsimile: (415) 956-1008
 msobol@lchb.com

Michael A. Caddell
 Cynthia B. Chapman
 Craig C. Marchiando (*Pro Hac Vice*)
CADDELL & CHAPMAN
 1331 Lamar, Suite 1070
 Houston, TX 77010
 Telephone: (713) 751-0400
 Facsimile: (713) 751-0906
 mac@caddellchapman.com
 cbc@caddellchapman.com
 ccm@caddellchapman.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

JOY NWABUEZE, individually and on behalf
 of a class of similarly situated individuals,

Plaintiff,

vs.

AT&T INC., a Delaware corporation; PACIFIC
 BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY d/b/a AT&T
 CALIFORNIA, a California corporation; AT&T
 SERVICES, INC., a Delaware corporation;
 AT&T OPERATIONS, INC., a Delaware
 corporation; and DOES 1 through 21,

Defendants.

Case No. CV 09-01529 SI

CLASS ACTION

**DECLARATION OF JOHN G.
 JACOBS IN SUPPORT OF FINAL
 APPROVAL**

Location: Courtroom 10, 19th Floor.
 450 Golden Gate Avenue
 San Francisco, California 94102
 Date: November 15, 2013
 Time: 9:00 a.m.

The Honorable Susan Illston

DECLARATION

John G. Jacobs, pursuant to 28 U. S. C. § 1746, hereby declares and states as follows:

1. I am President and founder of Jacobs Kolton, Chtd. I am a member of the bar of Illinois and have been admitted *pro hac vice* in this matter. I am one of the attorneys representing Plaintiff and the Class in this matter. This declaration is based on my own personal knowledge except where expressly noted otherwise.

Objections

2. Since the Notice program began, we have received various letters, some of which indicate they were also sent to counsel for AT&T, Mr. Tribble, and/or sent to the Court. In some instances, it is clear that they are not objecting to the settlement, but instead raising one concern or another (about their AT&T service, or about not wanting to sue AT&T, or individual issues with AT&T, etc.). In other instances, they use the word “object” but appear to be objecting to being charged for unauthorized charges. Some object to one aspect or another of the Settlement. We have reviewed all such correspondence along with counsel for AT&T and have agreed that the 25 documents included in Group Exhibit 1 to the Final Approval Memorandum are all of the correspondence that could remotely be considered objections, even though some of them appear not to be objections to the settlement.

3. The copy of the objection of Rosa Zurek received by our office on June 13, 2013, was received under a cover letter from Ms. Zurek’s husband, Michael Zurek, which letter included with the Zurek objection in Group Exhibit 1 to the Final Approval Motion. The letter states in relevant part, “If you settle this matter, my wife will withdraw her objection. I will extend to you an offer to settle this matter with my wife for \$30,000 if the settlement terms are reached by 5:00 p.m. PST on Friday, June 28, 2013.”

4. The document from Milagros Herrera included in Exhibit 1 was not received by us from Ms. Herrera. We recovered a copy of it from the Court’s ECF docket.

5. Each person in Group Exhibit 1 was sent a letter by me informing them of the revised Final Approval Hearing date and of the requirement that “no objector shall be allowed to

1 appeal the overruling of any objection or the final approval of this settlement unless the objector or
 2 his or her or its counsel has appeared in person at the Final Approval Hearing or in advance
 3 received for good cause shown the dispensation from the Court from appearing at the Final
 4 Approval Hearing. “

5 **Supplemental Information Regarding Lodestar And Expenses**

6 6. When we filed our Motion For Approval Of Attorneys’ Fees And Expenses (Dkt.
 7 No. 197), that included information on our time and expenses devoted to the case up through July
 8 31, 2013. As stated therein, the case has required us to continue to devote substantial time and
 9 expense to it, and we will continue to do so. In the period from August 1, 2013 through
 10 September 30, 2013, I have devoted an additional 104.5 hours to the case, my partner Bryan G.
 11 Kolton has devoted an additional 89.7 hours, attorney Paul Gluck has devoted 58 hours and our
 12 paralegal Rachael Malone has devoted an additional 14.8 hours. Copies of our daily time records
 13 are available for *in camera* inspection at the Court’s request. Billed at our current respective
 14 billing rates previously described in my prior declaration on this subject, the lodestar value of our
 15 time devoted to this case during this period is \$151,375. According to our bookkeeper, our
 16 expenses during the period July 1, 2013 (our prior expenses were reported only through June 30,
 17 2013) through September 30, 2013, are \$4,535.47. Thus the total lodestar for Jacobs Kolton,
 18 Chtd. from the beginning of the case through September 30, 2013 is \$2,303,152, and our total
 19 expenses from the beginning of the case through September 30, 2013, are \$ 120,757.47.

20 7. Concurrently filed herewith are the Declarations of David Schachman, Jeffrey F.
 21 Keller, Michael Sobol and Michael A. Caddell, regarding the additional time and expenses their
 22 firms have devoted to the case for the period August 1 – September 30, 2013. Adding those
 23 amounts to the amounts stated in their previous declarations that accompanied the fee motion
 24 (Dkt. 197), the total lodestar of all Class Counsel firms from the beginning of the case through
 25 September 30, 2013 is \$ 5,719,595.50, and the total expenses are \$ 212,051.59, for a total of time
 26 and expenses for \$5,931,646.89.

1 **General Averment**

2 8. All statements in the Motion For Final Approval And Supporting Memorandum
3 and in the Class's Response To The DOJ "Statement Of Interest" And The FTC "Memorandum
4 Of Law As *Amicus Curiae*" are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

5 Executed in Chicago, Illinois this 18th day of October, 2013.

6
7 /s/ John G. Jacobs
8 John G. Jacobs
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28